

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

SOUTH TEXAS HEALTH SYSTEM 3255 W PIONEER PKWY ARLINGTON TX 76013-4620

Respondent Name

State Office of Risk Management

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-11-2707-01

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Box Number 45

MFDR Date Received

April 11, 2011

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Requestor's Position Summary</u>: "The correct allowable due is \$2,925.35, minus their payment of \$1,243.98 there is still an outstanding balance of \$1,681.38. We filed appeals to the carrier but they have denied all of our requests."

Amount in Dispute: \$1,681.38

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: No position was submitted.

Response Required by: State Office of Risk Management

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
November 23, 2010	Outpatient Hospital Services	\$1,681.38	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, titled *Hospital Facility Fee Guideline Outpatient*, sets out the reimbursement guidelines for facility services provided in an outpatient acute care hospital.
- 3. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

Explanation of benefits date December 10, 2010

- 16 CLAIM/SERVICE LACKS INFORMATION WHICH IS NEEDED FOR ADJUDICATION. REMARK CODES WHENEVER APPROPRIATE.
- 97 PAYMENT IS INCLUDED IN THE ALLOWANCE FOR ANOTHER SERVICE/PROCEDURE.

Explanation of benefits dated January 25, 2011

- 97 PAYMENT IS INCLUDED IN THE ALLOWANCE FOR ANOTHER SERVICE/PROCEDURE.
- W1 WORKERS COMPENSATION STATE FEE SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENT.
- 193 ORIGINAL PAYMENT DECISION IS BEING MAINTAINED. THIS CLAIM WAS PROCESSED PROPERLY THE FIRST TIME.
- W3 ADDITIONAL PAYMENT MADE ON APPEAL/RECONSIDERATION.

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. Are the disputed services subject to a contractual agreement between the parties to this dispute?
- 2. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
- 3. What is the recommended payment amount for the services in dispute?
- 4. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

Findings

- 1. Review of the submitted documentation finds no information to support that the disputed services are subject to a contractual agreement between the parties to this dispute.
- 2. This dispute relates to facility services performed in an outpatient hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, which requires that the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register with the application of minimal modifications as set forth in the rule. Per §134.403(f)(1), the sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 200 percent, unless a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement of implantables. Review of the submitted documentation finds that separate reimbursement for implantables was not requested.
- 3. Under the Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS), each billed service is assigned an Ambulatory Payment Classification (APC) based on the procedure code used, the supporting documentation and the other services that appear on the bill. A payment rate is established for each APC. Depending on the services provided, hospitals may be paid for more than one APC per encounter. Payment for ancillary and supportive items and services, including services that are billed without procedure codes, is packaged into payment for the primary service. A full list of APCs is published quarterly in the OPPS final rules which are publicly available through the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) website. Reimbursement for the disputed services is calculated as follows:
 - Procedure code 96372, date of service November 23, 2010, is unbundled. This procedure is a component service of procedure code 99284 performed on the same date. Payment for this service is included in the payment for the primary procedure. Separate payment is not recommended.
 - Procedure code 99284, date of service November 23, 2010, has a status indicator of Q3, which denotes codes that may be paid through a composite APC; payment is packaged into a single payment for specific combinations of service. These services are classified under APC 0615, which, per OPPS Addendum A, has a payment rate of \$223.17. This amount multiplied by 60% yields an unadjusted labor-related amount of \$133.90. This amount multiplied by the annual wage index for this facility of 0.8847 yields an adjusted labor-related amount of \$118.46. The non-labor related portion is 40% of the APC rate or \$89.27. The sum of the labor and non-labor related amounts is \$207.73. The cost of these services does not exceed the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$2,175. The outlier payment amount is \$0. The total APC payment for this line is \$207.73. This amount multiplied by 200% yields a MAR of \$415.46.
 - Procedure code J1885, date of service November 23, 2010, has a status indicator of N, which denotes
 packaged items and services with no separate APC payment; payment is packaged into the reimbursement
 for other services, including outliers.
- 4. Procedure codes 70450, 70486, and 72125, date of service November 23, 2010, have a status indicator of Q3, which denotes conditionally packaged codes that may be paid through a composite APC. A service that is assigned to a composite APC is a major component of a single episode of care. The hospital receives one

payment through a composite APC for multiple major separately identifiable services. Payment for any combination of designated procedures performed on the same date is packaged into a single payment. These services are assigned to composite APC 8005, for computed tomography (CT) services without contrast. If a claim includes a composite payment that pays for more than one otherwise separately paid service, the charges for all services included in the composite are summed up to one line. To determine outlier payments, a single cost for the composite APC is estimated from the summarized charges. Total packaged cost is allocated to the composite line-item in proportion to other separately paid services on the claim. This line is assigned status indicator S, which denotes a significant procedure, not subject to multiple-procedure discounting, paid under OPPS with separate APC payment. These services are classified under APC 8005, which, per OPPS Addendum A, has a payment rate of \$419.45. This amount multiplied by 60% yields an unadjusted labor-related amount of \$251.67. This amount multiplied by the annual wage index for this facility of 0.8847 yields an adjusted labor-related amount of \$222.65. The non-labor related portion is 40% of the APC rate or \$167.78. The sum of the labor and non-labor related amounts is \$390.43. The cost of these services does not exceed the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$2,175. The outlier payment amount is \$0. The total APC payment for this line is \$390.43. This amount multiplied by 200% yields a MAR of \$780.86. The total allowable reimbursement for the services in dispute is \$1,196.32. This amount less the amount previously paid by the insurance carrier of \$1,243.98 leaves an amount due to the requestor of \$0.00. No additional reimbursement can be recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$1,681.38, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

Authorized Signature

		March 25, 2013
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party**.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.